

TOWN OF BEEKMAN

TOWN BOARD

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Date: May 27, 2026

Time: 7:00 p.m.

Location: Town Hall, 4 Main Street, Poughquag, NY

ATTENDANCE

Present

- Supervisor Laureen Abbatantuono
- Councilwoman Gina Ragusa
- Councilman Mersin Capollari
- Councilwoman Sharon Wohrman
- Town Clerk Alicia Turenchalk

Absent

- Deputy Supervisor Kate Blake

Also Present

- Town Attorney Michael Towey
 - Legislator Joe Tresca
 - Members of the Public
-

CALL TO ORDER

Supervisor Laureen Abbatantuono called the Regular Meeting of the Town Board to order at 7:00 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

A moment of silence was observed.

ADMINISTRATIVE ANNOUNCEMENTS

Supervisor Abbatantuono announced that Deputy Supervisor Kate Blake was unable to attend the meeting due to a personal matter.

The Supervisor also discussed ongoing matters concerning Stone Ridge Estates and advised residents that a Town Hall meeting would be held on June 9, 2026, prior to the regular Town Board meeting, to provide additional information and answer questions regarding the situation.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS

Several residents spoke regarding the Stone Ridge Estates water and sewer situation. Residents expressed concerns regarding communication, transparency, financial impacts to homeowners, and the process being used to evaluate potential district formation.

The Board acknowledged the concerns and advised that additional information would be presented during the June 9, 2026 informational meeting.

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution 05:27:26-1: Amending the Duties and Salary of the Secretary to the Supervisor

Motion: Supervisor Abbatantuono

Seconded: Councilwoman Ragusa

Discussion Summary

The Board discussed the resignation of the Payroll Clerk and the need to ensure continuity of payroll operations.

Discussion focused on assigning payroll-related responsibilities to the Secretary to the Supervisor due to prior experience with payroll administration and Paychex. Questions were raised regarding the scope of the new duties and whether payroll processing responsibilities should permanently reside with the position.

Friendly Amendment

Councilman Capollari proposed a friendly amendment to modify the language describing payroll responsibilities to clarify that the Secretary to the Supervisor would provide support for payroll administration rather than assume all payroll duties.

The amendment was accepted.

Roll Call Vote

Member	Vote
Councilwoman Wohrman	Nay
Councilman Capollari	Aye
Councilwoman Ragusa	Aye
Councilwoman Blake	Absent
Supervisor Abbatantuono	Aye

Resolution Approved.

Resolution 05:27:26-2: Setting Public Hearing and Authorizing Publication of Notice Regarding Proposed Appropriation from the Snow and Ice Removal and Road Repair Reserve Fund

Motion: Supervisor Abbatantuono

Seconded: Councilwoman Ragusa

Discussion Summary

The Board discussed the unusually severe snow and ice events experienced during January and February 2026 and the resulting impact on the Highway Department budget.

The proposed public hearing would consider appropriating \$32,000 from the Snow and Ice Removal and Road Repair Reserve Fund to address budget shortfalls resulting from those extraordinary weather events.

Roll Call Vote

Member	Vote
Councilwoman Wohrman	Aye
Councilman Capollari	Aye
Councilwoman Ragusa	Aye
Councilwoman Blake	Absent
Supervisor Abbatantuono	Aye

Resolution Approved.

Resolution 05:27:26-3: Rescinding Resolution 04:14:26-25

Motion: Supervisor Abbatantuono

Seconded: Councilwoman Ragusa

Discussion Summary

The Board reviewed Resolution 04:14:26-25, which authorized a transfer of ARPA funds to the Doherty Park Capital Project Fund.

Following additional review of anticipated expenses and ARPA compliance considerations, the Board determined that the previously approved transfer amount exceeded the project's immediate needs and should be rescinded pending further evaluation.

Roll Call Vote

Member	Vote
Councilwoman Wohrman	Aye
Councilman Capollari	Aye
Councilwoman Ragusa	Aye
Councilwoman Blake	Absent
Supervisor Abbatantuono	Aye

Resolution Approved.

Resolution 05:27:26-4: Approving the Transfer to Doherty Park Capital Project Fund

Motion: Supervisor Abbatantuono

Seconded: Councilman Capollari

Discussion Summary

The Board discussed a proposed transfer of \$5,182 from accumulated ARPA interest earnings to the Doherty Park Capital Project Fund.

Councilman Capollari requested additional information regarding pending expenses and supporting documentation before authorizing the transfer. Questions were raised regarding prior expenditures, remaining project obligations, and the appropriate use of ARPA-related funds.

Following discussion, the Board agreed additional information was necessary before acting.

Action Taken

The motion was withdrawn and the resolution was tabled for future consideration.

Resolution Tabled.

Resolution 05:27:26-5: Approve Town of Beekman Budget Revisions

Motion: Supervisor Abbatantuono

Seconded: Councilman Capollari

Discussion Summary

The Board reviewed Budget Revision No. 2026-03, which included transfers and adjustments among General Fund and Highway Fund accounts to address operational needs and previously approved actions.

The revisions included adjustments for garage equipment, aging program expenses, library supplies, Community Day activities, America's 250th Birthday Celebration activities, and transfers between the General and Highway Funds.

Roll Call Vote

Member	Vote
Councilwoman Wohrman	Aye
Councilman Capollari	Aye
Councilwoman Ragusa	Aye
Councilwoman Blake	Absent
Supervisor Abbatantuono	Aye

Resolution Approved.

Resolution 05:27:26-6: Payment of Claims

Motion: Supervisor Abbatantuono

Seconded: Councilwoman Ragusa

Discussion Summary

The Board reviewed the audited claims and payroll abstracts as presented by the Bookkeeper.

Approved claims totaled \$132,057.48 and payroll totaled \$60,421.43.

Roll Call Vote

Member	Vote
Councilwoman Wohrman	Aye
Councilman Capollari	Aye
Councilwoman Ragusa	Aye
Councilwoman Blake	Absent
Supervisor Abbatantuono	Aye

Resolution Approved.

COUNTY LEGISLATOR REPORT

Supervisor Abbatantuono welcomed Dutchess County Legislator Joe Tresca to provide an update on legislation currently being considered in Albany.

Legislator Tresca discussed proposed legislation sponsored by Senator Rob Rolison that would provide temporary relief from certain taxes and fees on utility bills, resulting in reduced energy costs for residents. He noted that several neighboring counties had adopted resolutions supporting the measure, but a proposed resolution in Dutchess County had not advanced to the Legislature floor. Legislator Tresca encouraged residents to contact members of the Dutchess County Legislature if they wished to see the measure receive further consideration.

Councilman Capollari added that he, along with other local elected officials, had attended meetings of the Dutchess County Legislature to advocate for the proposal. He noted that increasing utility costs continue to impact residents and thanked Legislator Tresca and Senator Rolison for their efforts to provide relief.

The Board thanked Legislator Tresca for his continued service and advocacy on behalf of Dutchess County residents.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Stone Ridge Estates Residents

Several Stone Ridge Estates residents addressed the Board regarding concerns about the ongoing water and sewer district discussions.

Residents questioned the need to create new districts while private water and sewer corporations remain active, requested information regarding enforcement actions for unpaid obligations, and expressed concern about the potential financial impact on homeowners.

Residents also requested greater transparency and more direct communication from the Town regarding future plans and available alternatives.

Attached are the statements requested by the residents to be included in the minutes.

The Board advised that many of these issues would be discussed during the June 9, 2026 informational meeting and encouraged continued public participation.

Joseph Giangrande

Mr. Giangrande addressed the Board regarding comments made about his business and reputation. He discussed personal and professional hardships and submitted documentation for inclusion in the meeting record.

Bill Crane

Mr. Crane commented on the Stone Ridge Estates matter and encouraged both residents and the Board to focus on potential solutions moving forward.

ADDITIONAL ANNOUNCEMENTS

Supervisor Abbatantuono advised that she had received correspondence concerning the Pine Grove Motel property and would be contacting law enforcement agencies and elected officials regarding the concerns raised.

The correspondence would be included in the meeting record.

The Supervisor reminded residents that:

- A Stone Ridge Estates informational meeting would be held on June 9, 2026 at 6:00 p.m.
- The next Regular Town Board Meeting would be held on June 9, 2026 at 7:00 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Councilwoman Ragusa

Seconded: Councilman Capollari

The Regular Meeting of the Town Board was adjourned at **8:23 p.m.**

Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully Submitted,

Alicia Turechalk
Town Clerk
Town of Beekman

May 27, 2026

Dear Members of the Town Board,

I am here to speak, again, on the topic of the resolutions passed on April 14th for Stone Ridge Estates water and sewer districts and ask that they be rescinded.

First, to be clear: We do NOT want or intend to take over and privately run the water and sewer corporation. We never expressed an interest in doing this, and I'm not sure where that impression came from. But without communication, those misinterpretations will only continue.

In addition, it was said at the May 12th meeting that "The County is now involved."
This was implied as a reason we needed to act now.

I asked at that meeting for clarity on the extent of the County's involvement.

We have since found out that their involvement is regarding required water testing, and NOT in mandating the creation of new districts.

These districts are already created and recognized by both NYS, and the Town of Beekman, in resolutions passed in 2013.

There are clearly still a lot of questions around this issue, on all sides.

Enough to call for the resolutions to be rescinded, until the scope can be clearly defined.

It never should have passed before a full understanding of the situation.

What is the plan for legal acquisition of Sean O'Donnell's company?

Why is it necessary to re-create districts that are already in place and recognized by New York State?

Why is the board so eager to move forward to research and fund the RE-CREATION of these districts?

Redundant spending serves neither the residents of SRE or the community of Beekman as a whole.

So, who does it serve? Who stands to benefit most from these resolutions?

If the benefit is not solely to the 18 homes, then the funding should not come solely from the 18 homes.

These resolutions were passed too hastily, with far too little research or communication.

The board took just ten days to pass it after an initial and private HOA meeting, with no further input from, or communication with the community being affected.

The spending of our tax dollars needs to be done more judiciously and not done as a reaction to another town's failings.

At the May 12 meeting, you voiced your frustration at the many questions and messages being sent.

Respectfully, I'm asking you to take your personal frustration out of the conversation and look at this objectively from the side of your residents.

Rationally, you have to understand that passing resolutions approving an estimated \$2800 in additional tax per household would result in questions. AS IT SHOULD.

When questions go unanswered, we will continue to ask, and we deserve clear answers, especially when our finances are being directly affected.

Please understand that without the communication that we've repeatedly asked for, this seems like something being done TO us, rather than FOR us.

Thank you for listening.

Jennifer Capollari
26 Biltmore Drive

May 27, 2026

Attention: Members of the Beekman Town Board,

Last week on May 19th, I responded to emails going back and forth between Beekman & East Fishkill town officials, town attorneys and my neighbor Linda Schluter. I'm not surprised that I haven't gotten a response from anyone.

Because I did not get a response, I would like to follow up on my former email.

Let me start by saying that almost two months ago on April 2nd, Beekman & East Fishkill Town Supervisors were invited to our SRE HOA meeting to discuss issues pertaining to the water & sewer corporations owned by Sean O'Donnell. The purpose of this invitation was to help facilitate open communication between both Town officials and the residents of SRE. It was stated repeatedly that the issue with these corporations are NOT an HOA issue. It is an individual homeowner issue that affects each resident in SRE.

At that meeting we were told it would take months before any solution or decisions would be made and further communication would take place.

Since then, that has not happened at all! Instead, 12 days later two resolutions were passed without any communication or input from residents and without notifying residents of these resolutions that were voted on & passed.

Now, two months later, after several letters and emails from residents asking this board to please reconsider and to rescind those resolutions, here we are still in this position. We've been told communication will continue and letters will be sent notifying us of any updates with progress. To this day, we have not received anything. Instead, we are blatantly ignored but somehow expected to appear at the last board meeting when SRE wasn't even on the agenda!

Funny how letters and notices are neglected from being sent in a two month time frame but it took just 12 days to vote on and pass two resolutions!

And when we voice our concerns of what is being done to us not for us, town attorneys that admittedly state they are acting in the best interests of the town board, fire off emails excluding residents and restricting communication directly with town officials.

Elected town officials that we voted for!

In my email I addressed a statement made to my neighbor Linda Schluter that her comments should be filtered through our HOA rather than directly to town officials. Not only was that statement completely absurd and probably unconstitutional but unbelievably disrespectful to a homeowner who has lived here since the beginning of the formation of this development and has more knowledge pertaining to this development than anyone else involved.

So I ask in all seriousness. Is it now customary for this board to operate in this manner?

- Passing resolutions without any discussion or input from residents when it will be at the cost of homeowners?
- Blatantly ignoring and not responding to letters & emails from homeowners?
- Avoiding transparency?
- Allowing attorneys and outside town officials to dictate the course of action this board takes with its own residents?

Despite repeated claims to have our best interests in mind, these actions clearly show the opposite.

Every situation SRE has had to deal with is a direct consequence of town board officials and attorneys rushing to decisions without proper knowledge, information and input from residents. Excluding residents and restricting our communication is absolutely appalling! We have every right to be included in the decisions that are made that will affect this community especially when it will be at the cost of each homeowner. And we have every right to communicate directly with our elected town officials.

I sincerely hope the board recognizes that this isn't the way to come to a resolution. If things continue on this path, it will only confirm what we've been feeling. That the town does not have our best interests in mind at all.

Sincerely,

Susann O'Leary
41 Biltmore Drive
Hopewell Junction, NY

5/27/26

Good evening members of the Town Board.

My name is Linda Schluter, and I am a homeowner in Stone Ridge Estates.

I am speaking tonight as a resident directly affected by the ongoing decisions involving the privately owned water and sewer corporations serving SRE, the intermunicipal relationship with the Town of East Fishkill, the developer's financial arrears, and the creation of new districts that will significantly impact homeowners.

For months, I and many other residents, have attempted to engage this Board respectfully and constructively regarding transparency, accountability, operational oversight, financial exposure, and homeowner inclusion in discussions directly affecting our homes and finances.

Residents were repeatedly told this Board supports transparency, communication, and collaboration. Yet the actions residents have experienced tell a very different story.

At the April 28 Town Hall and Board meeting, residents left believing there would be continued engagement and direct communication regarding possible solutions and next steps. There was none. Instead, communication increasingly shifted into legalistic responses, procedural barriers, and closed intergovernmental discussions without homeowner participation.

The written record reflects this pattern.

In correspondence with the Town of East Fishkill, I raised concerns regarding transparency, accountability, and the exclusion of residents from discussions directly affecting them. I was told that current discussions involved "intermunicipal, legal, operational, and financial matters" that needed to be addressed by governmental entities before any framework could be presented publicly. I was also told that continued exchanges regarding "accusations, assumptions, or differing characterizations" were no longer productive.

Respectfully, that language matters.

When residents raise legitimate concerns and are told continued discussion is “not productive,” it sends the message that continued questioning is unwelcome.

I was further told that East Fishkill’s obligations “stop at the town line” and that I should communicate with Beekman officials. But while municipal boundaries may exist legally, East Fishkill remains actively involved in operational, contractual, and financial matters affecting the utilities serving SRE residents.

Residents know that agreements exist with the Town of East Fishkill to provide water and sewer services, significant arrears are owed by the developer’s entities, and ongoing municipal discussions were occurring, yet residents were effectively told a framework would be developed first and explained later.

That sequencing is precisely what concerns residents.

We are not asking to replace municipal decision-making. We are asking to be included before decisions affecting our homes and financial obligations are effectively finalized.

What further compounded these concerns was the sequence of events following the April 2 HOA meeting attended by both Supervisors. Residents believed that meeting was the beginning of an open dialogue. Instead, discussions moved further behind governmental and legal channels, followed shortly thereafter by actions advancing district creation.

That sequence: initial engagement, followed by exclusion, followed by structural action, has created deep mistrust within the community.

I also want to address the recent communication from the Beekman Town Attorney advising me my communications should be routed through the HOA President so the Town could determine whether I was speaking on behalf of residents. So now the Town Attorney appears to be functioning as a gatekeeper between residents and the very people elected to represent them.

No resident should feel intimidated for speaking publicly or for written communications.

No resident should feel censored for asking questions, regardless of what may be considered repetitive or annoying.

I do not need permission to communicate with my elected officials.

And I do not need my communications filtered, screened, or validated through an HOA before they are considered worthy of response.

I am a homeowner, taxpayer, and resident directly affected by these decisions. I have every right to communicate directly with my government regarding matters affecting my property, finances, and community and was actually “directed to do so by the Supervisor of East Fishkill!”

HOA governance does not replace government accountability.

The suggestion that residents should communicate through intermediaries before reaching elected officials is deeply inappropriate and sends a troubling message that difficult questions are viewed as inconveniences rather than concerns deserving answers.

That is not transparency, collaboration, or responsive government.

What is equally troubling is the continuing mischaracterization of what residents have actually been asking for. Residents have consistently raised concerns about accountability, financial instability, enforcement of existing obligations, and protections for homeowners.

We have requested Propositions 4-14-26-14 & 15 be rescinded.

Yet somehow the public takeaway at the May 12 Board meeting appeared to be surprise that more SRE residents were not present, despite no SRE discussion appearing on the agenda. Residents were then told to come up with their own plan by June 24.

We are frankly stunned that residents are being asked to reinvent systems and obligations that already exist instead of understanding why existing obligations are not first being fully enforced.

Residents are trying to understand why homeowners are being required to absorb new liabilities before existing contractual and financial failures are fully addressed.

Instead of substantive answers, residents increasingly encounter procedural maneuvering, legal buffering, and silence.

A troubling pattern has emerged:

When questions are raised, residents are told the matter is too complex.

When concerns persist, communication becomes “unproductive.”

When residents continue engaging, they are told to communicate through intermediaries.

And when residents refuse to disengage, discussions appear to close altogether.

That is not transparency. It is distance from accountability.

I am not here to create conflict. I am here because these decisions directly affect my home, finances, and community’s future. Process matters.

Local government works best when residents are engaged openly and directly, especially when questions are difficult.

So my questions tonight are very clear:

Why are new districts being considered when private corporations already exist, and the Town Attorney continues to say “if and when”?

What enforcement actions have been taken regarding the known arrears? It has been TWO months since the April 2 meeting, yet no discussions.

What financial exposure may homeowners ultimately face, both now and in the future?

Has the Board attempted to directly engage with the owner of the private water and sewer corporations?

Has the owner ever indicated an intention to default or pursue Chapter 11 bankruptcy?

What alternatives were considered before district creation discussions began?

Why were residents not included earlier in the process?

Will this Board return to the principles of transparency and communication it publicly claims to support?

Will the Board engage directly with residents instead of relying on counsel as a buffer?

And will this Board commit to meaningful transparency and resident involvement before decisions affecting homeowners’ property interests and financial obligations are finalized?

Those questions remain largely unanswered.

Because right now, many residents feel that the more questions we ask, the more

resistance we encounter.

That should concern every member of this Board and the Beekman community as a whole.

Despite recent attempts to discourage resident engagement, I will continue speaking, continue asking questions, and continue advocating for transparency, accountability, and fair treatment for Stone Ridge Estates and the entire Beekman community.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF DUTCHESS

Present:

Hon. Maria G. Rosa, Justice

JOSEPH GIANGRANDE, d/b/a "Joe the Grower",

Plaintiff,

~~-against-~~DMITRY KHOROSH and HOTASSWOOD.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

**AMENDED
DECISION AND ORDER
AFTER INQUEST**

Index No: 52069/18

In this action Plaintiff seeks damages for defamation and injurious falsehood. An inquest was held December 11, 2019. The only witness to testify was the plaintiff. The following is all according to his testimony. His residence and business, "Joe The Grower" are at 1127 Route 216, Poughquag, New York which consists of 7.2 acres and an unfinished barn. He has been in business for 24 years. He is a farmer and a florist selling hanging baskets, flowers, vegetables, wood and baked goods. Plaintiff met the defendant, Dmitry Khorosh in the summer of 2016. Defendant's business is "Hotasswood.com" located at 2535 Route 55 in Poughquag several miles from plaintiff's business. Defendant offered to purchase Plaintiff's property at a below market price. Plaintiff didn't want to sell. In July 2017 Defendant threatened to ruin Plaintiff's business with postings on the internet unless Plaintiff agreed to sell. Defendant said "If you don't sign this contract I'll fucking destroy you". Then Defendant posted the website entitled "Joethegrower.info" and posted on facebook about Plaintiff and his business. In these postings he falsely stated that Plaintiff stole from Cumberland Farms, that he preyed on women, that he was a drug dealer, that he stole money, that he shot weapons toward his neighbors and their children, that he possessed weapons in violation of the New York Safe Act, that he resold produce he purchased from Hannaford Supermarkets, that he stole beef, that he put nails on the road in front of his business and that he threatened to pollute his property. Following a cease and desist letter from the plaintiff's attorney and then a stipulation between the parties and an order of this court, these false claims were eventually removed from the internet. Plaintiff claims there is still defamatory video on line.

According to Plaintiff the postings destroyed his reputation in the community and ruined his business. Plaintiff testified that people drove by his property and called him names, that customers stopped coming and canceled contracts, that no one showed at his scheduled business events, that customers and promoters refused to work with him, all of which he claims caused him to file for bankruptcy (see Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in evidence) and drove his property into foreclosure.

Defamation is the making of a false statement which tends to "expose the plaintiff to public contempt, or ridicule or disgrace, or induce an evil opinion of him in the minds of right-thinking persons, and to deprive him of their friendly intercourse in society," Rinaldi v. Holt, 42 NY2d 943

Scanned to the E-File System only

Pursuant to CPLR §5513, an appeal as of right must be taken within thirty days after service by a party upon the appellant of a copy of the judgment or order appealed from and written notice of its entry, except that when the appellant has served a copy of the judgment or order and written notice of its entry, the appeal must be taken within thirty days thereof.

VanderWoude & Roma
103 Old Route 52
Stormville, NY 12582

William F. Frame, Esq.
305 Sherwood Drive North
Middletown, NY 10941

Gmail

Copy Asec <servicehw@teamcamelot.com>

Fwd: Letter

1 message

Tue, Mar 23, 2020 at 9:34 AM

Joseph Giangrande <joethegrower12570@gmail.com>
To: Copy Asec <servicehw@teamcamelot.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joseph Giangrande** <joethegrower12570@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Jul 13, 2020, 10:42 AM
Subject: Fwd: Letter
To: <patrickfmooore@gmail.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Somers, Bob (AGRICULTURE)** <Bob.Somers@agriculture.ny.gov>
Date: Mon, Jul 13, 2020, 9:49 AM
Subject: RE: Letter
To: Joseph Giangrande <joethegrower12570@gmail.com>

Joseph, placing land into an agricultural district is up to the Farmland Protection Board's recommendations and, ultimately, the County Legislative Body. The Department does not have a role in the formation of districts.

However, on May 7, 2020 I met you at your property on Route 52 adjacent to the Taconic Parkway. You indicated that the parcel was once used as a real estate office.

As discussed, I thought that this parcel was a great addition to your farm operation and could serve as a place where your agricultural products could be marketed. The property has a large parking area, it is flat and there are two access points that could be used as a separate entrance and exit. In addition, the property has a high degree of visibility.

Many farms purchase strategic parcels of land, such as the one on Route 52, to facilitate their sales of crops, livestock and livestock products. Sometimes they place a greenhouse on the property and decorate the sales area with plants. This parcel would be a prime site for such activities.

Thanks, Bob

Robert Somers, Ph.D., C.F

Manager, Farmland Protection Unit

Department of Agriculture and Markets

State Office Building, Albany, NY 12235

518-485-8887 | bob.somers@agriculture.ny.gov

6:51

LTE



Joseph Giangrande




Posts

Photos

Reels

has done here to help.



Like



Comment



Share



Joseph Giangrande

Jun 15, 2022 · 🌐

...



Home



Video



Friends



Marketplace



Notifications



Menu

47 Main Street
Poughquag NY 12570
www.townofbeekman.com
(845) 724-5300

TOWN
BEEKMAN
New York

Cease and Desist

that there exists a violation of Code of the Town of Beekman at
Location: 1127 Route 216

58-02-768580

ing until Building Department receives DC report.

You are hereby
DIRECTED AND ORDERED TO CEASE AND DESIST
comply with the law and to remedy the conditions above mentioned.

IMMEDIATELY

Failure to remedy the condition aforesaid and to comply with the applicable
provisions of law may constitute an offense punishable by fine, imprisonment
both.

Date: 8/23/2021


Zoning Enforcement Officer

Dutchess County
Department of Behavioral & Community Health
PERMIT

To Operate a
Mobile Food Service Establishment


This is to certify that
JOSEPH GIANGRANDE

the operator of
JOE THE GROWER

at
1127 ROUTE 216
POUGHQUAG, NY 12570

Located in the **TOWN** of **BEEKMAN** in **DUTCHESS** County
is granted permission to operate said establishment in compliance with the provisions
of **Subpart 14-4 of the State Sanitary Code** and
under the following conditions:

(1) This permit is granted subject to any and all applicable State, Local and Municipal Laws,
Ordinances, Codes, Rules and Regulations.



Effective Date May 31, 2019

Permit is NON-TRANSFERABLE

Anil K. Vaidian MD, MPH, Commissioner

Permit Issuing Official

This permit expires on **May 21, 2020** and may be revoked or suspended for cause.

THIS PERMIT SHOULD BE POSTED CONSPICUOUSLY

Facility Code **4018199**

Permit Number **4018199**

Operation ID **989355**

EF1 Tornado near Saugerties Ulster County & Macroburst in Dutchess County

Albany, NY
Weather Forecast Office

[Weather.gov](#) > [Albany, NY](#) > EF1 Tornado near Saugerties Ulster County & Macroburst in Dutchess County

[Current Hazards](#) [Current Conditions](#) [Radar](#) [Forecasts](#) [Rivers and Lakes](#) [Climate and Past Weather](#) [Local Programs](#)

National Weather Service Storm Survey Results

PUBLIC INFORMATION STATEMENT

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE ALBANY NY
620 PM EDT Wed May 16 2018

...National Weather Service Confirms
Macroburst in Dutchess County, NY...

LOCATION...Wappingers Falls, NY

DATE...May 15, 2018

ESTIMATED TIME...420 pm EDT

MAXIMUM EF SCALE RATING...N/A

ESTIMATED MAXIMUM WIND SPEED...105 mph

ESTIMATED PATH WIDTH...3 miles

PATH LENGTH...8 miles

BEGINNING LAT/LON...41.55/-73.97

ENDING LAT/LON...41.52/-73.84

* FATALITIES...0

* INJURIES...0

* THE INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS PRELIMINARY
AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING FINAL REVIEW
OF THE EVENT AND PUBLICATION IN NWS STORM DATA.

...SUMMARY...

A National Weather Service team determined that a macroburst occurred in southern Dutchess County, NY along the I-84 corridor roughly between Beacon/Wappingers Falls and East Fishkill/Hopewell Junction.

The macroburst toppled/uprooted hundreds of trees. Some trees fell on structures. There was limited direct damage from wind to structures with a fallen chimney noted as well as some siding ripped off a few homes.

The damage is consistent with wind speeds in the 85 to 105 mph range.

For reference...

A macroburst is a thunderstorm downdraft affecting an area at least 2.5 miles wide with peak winds lasting 5 to 20 minutes. The macroburst is a straight-line wind phenomena not associated with rotation...used to differentiate from tornadic winds.

Macrobursts can produce as much if not more damage as tornadoes due to the size and scope of a macroburst.

\$\$

OKeefe

PUBLIC INFORMATION STATEMENT

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE ALBANY NY
646 PM EDT Wed May 16 2018

...EF-1 Tornado Confirmed Near Saugerties, NY...

LOCATION...The tornado path started along Route 212 between Woodstock and Saugerties and moved east through the western and southern part of Saugerties before crossing the Hudson River and ending in Tivoli, NY, just south of the Clermont State Historic Site.

DATE...May 15, 2018

ESTIMATED TIME...2:29 PM EDT to 2:35 PM EDT

MAXIMUM EF SCALE RATING...EF1.

ESTIMATED MAXIMUM WIND SPEED...90 MPH

ESTIMATED PATH WIDTH...0.1 miles or 528 feet

PATH LENGTH...Approximately 5 miles

BEGINNING LAT/LON...42.0812

ENDING LAT/LON...-74.0023

* FATALITIES...None.

* INJURIES...None.

* THE INFORMATION IN THIS STATEMENT IS PRELIMINARY
AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING FINAL REVIEW OF
THE EVENT AND PUBLICATION IN NWS STORM DATA.

...SUMMARY...

The majority of the tornado damage was rated EF-0. The storm that produced the tornado also produced at least one microburst with winds up to 90 mph, which sheared and uprooted many large trees and sheared a few power poles. Most of the damage was indirect, from trees falling on homes, other structures and power lines.

Thanks to local and county emergency management for help with the damage survey.

\$\$

SND/CS

Map of Tornado Track

8:19

5G




Joseph Giangrande is at Joe The Grower.

Jan 15 · 🌐



Here is some of the nasty comments from our now Town Supervisor/former clerk of Beekman. Not supportive of Small business Laureen. Please shop at Joe The Grower.



Like

Comment

Share

2 shares

Most relevant



Write a comment...



9:54

5G



The Hudson Valley · Join

Adam Ross · Feb 12, 2019 · 🌐



Theresa Bleakley Wielgos

Terry Bennett didn't sell. Stopped it by claiming bankruptcy

6y Like Reply

1 👍



Abbatantuono Laureen

Terry Bennett it never sold, he filed for bankruptcy and won

6y Like Reply



Abbatantuono Laureen

Theresa Bleakley Wielgos longer

6y Like Reply



Abbatantuono Laureen

John Namerow and tried to say that they were being racist because he's Hispanic
Imao 🤔 he's Italian

6y Like Reply



John Namerow

lol 😊

6y Like Reply



John Namerow

So Lauren with the bankruptcy, any claims on the house got screwed?

6y Like Reply



Abbatantuono Laureen

Felicia Giordano if he wasn't such a con artist scammer then people would have helped him

6y Like Reply



Write a public comment...

